

February 20, 1967

## CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — APPENDIX

A 755

## Subversion by CIA

## EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. JONATHAN B. BINGHAM

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 20, 1967

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, the recent disclosures of CIA financing of all kinds of domestic, as well as foreign, activities are not only shocking in themselves, but are unquestionably damaging to the reputation of the United States and of literally scores of American organizations whose integrity and independence have been brought into question.

There may be those who are saying that the damage was caused by the unauthorized disclosures, but surely those responsible for these farflung subsidies must have known that they could not be kept secret indefinitely.

One of the many tragedies of this affair is that obviously many of the organizations were entirely unaware of the source of the support they were receiving, and even in those cases where a few individuals knew the facts the vast majority of the rank-and-file members did not. Nevertheless, all have been tarred with the same brush, or at the very least embarrassed.

This mess—for it surely deserves that term—once again emphasizes the need for more effective supervision by the Congress of the operations of the CIA. In May 1965, in testimony before the Joint Committee on Organization of the Congress, I urged the creation of a joint committee, to be named by the Speaker of the House, the minority leader of the House, and the majority and minority leaders of the Senate, to provide the necessary oversight of the CIA. I now urgently renew that recommendation.

Whether or not those of our colleagues who have been responsible for overseeing the activities of the CIA to date knew of the CIA system of covert subsidies which have now been brought to light, it would seem clear that a new and broader system of congressional supervision is needed.

I include herewith a thoughtful and cogent editorial on this whole subject appearing in today's New York Times:

## SUBVERSION BY CIA

The disastrous effects of the systematic penetration of American educational, cultural and labor organizations by the Central Intelligence Agency daily become more apparent. The strength of these organizations, both in the structure of American society and in their relations with their opposite numbers in other nations, always has been their freedom from government domination.

Now, through the deviousness of C.I.A. operations, thousands of scholars, students, unionists and professional leaders discover long after the fact that they have performed unwitting and undesired duty as secret agents.

The integrity of pro-American positions, honestly taken by groups and individuals in the worldwide battle of ideas, has been undermined. The independence of America's private foundations has been brought into question. In short, faith in American institutions has been besmirched in a way that

would have eluded the reach of any foreign enemy.

It is no excuse to say that the C.I.A.'s decision to use a limitless range of philanthropic fronts to funnel its funds into youth groups, universities and other private institutions was designed to meet a very real problem of the cold war: the need for assuring that the Communists would not have an unchallenged field in the youth congresses and cultural conferences they were arranging—and subsidizing—on a global basis ten and fifteen years ago. That problem should have been met openly—by direct public subsidy.

It should have been clear long ago to the C.I.A.'s overseers in the White House that the end effect of clandestine subsidies to groups representative of the detachment and diversity of a free society must inevitably taint the genuineness of their detachment. This would be true even without the charges that have now developed of the assignment of C.I.A. operatives to influence the policy statements and choice of officers of the National Student Association—a practice that may have extended to other organizations as well.

The health of a democratic society depends on the certainty that its free institutions—its press, its educational and scientific bodies, its publishing houses and television networks, its unions and business organizations—are truly free. That does not mean government is barred from underwriting international exchanges or research study; it means that, where such support is appropriate, it must be given openly through its own public agencies.

The worst part of the current mess is that the very nature of the C.I.A., with its mandate for espionage and subversion all over the world, rules out any thoroughgoing public inquiry into its activities. That means some residue of suspicion is sure to remain—both in this country and abroad—no matter how conscientiously the Cabinet Committee appointed last week by President Johnson seeks to formulate policies that will prevent the C.I.A. or any other Federal bureau from imperiling the "integrity and independence" of educational institutions.

When a government finds it necessary to set up an agency to fight subversion with subversion everywhere, the tragic danger it opens up is that among the people it subverts are its own. The defense against such weakening of America's institutional fabric must rest with the President and Congress. Even with the recent broadening of Senator Russell's watchdog committee, Congress is not doing its part of that job.

## Lithuanian Independence Day

## SPEECH

OF

HON. GLENN CUNNINGHAM

OF NEBRASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 16, 1967

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, we Americans cannot console in any way or in any words a valiant nation that has lost its freedom to the forces of tyranny. But, Mr. Speaker, we can and do extend our deepest gratitude to the people of Lithuania for the magnificent example they have set for us and for other freemen the world over.

This example has many parts stretching across the centuries. Unified in 1253, annexed by Russia in 1795, proclaimed free again in 1918, and shackled

by Soviet communism in 1940, by Nazi terrorism in 1941, and once more by Soviet communism in 1944, the Lithuanian nation does not cry out from anguish and almost unbelievable suffering nearly as much as it sings joyfully a ringing truth: freemen are always free in their hearts and spirit and hope.

From this truth all people of good will take rich nourishment by the use of which they can support human liberty, and all tyrants and tyrannies take warning of their own ultimate demise.

Thus, Mr. Speaker, let Americans pause reflectively and determinedly to commemorate 1967, the 714th anniversary of Lithuanian national unity, and February 16, 1967, the 49th anniversary of the founding of the Lithuanian Republic.

## Watchdog Over the CIA

## EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. WILLIAM F. RYAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 20, 1967

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, an excellent editorial in the New York Times today supports the proposal to set up a congressional watchdog committee over the CIA. I have introduced such legislation in the past three Congresses. My bill this year is H.J. Res. 305. The editorial points out the danger of having a secret agency of government over which there is no effective congressional control. The New York Times states: "When a government finds it necessary to set up an agency to fight subversion with subversion everywhere, the tragic danger it opens up is that among the people it subverts are its own. The defense against such weakening of America's institutional fabric must rest with the President and the Congress. Congress is not doing its part of that job."

In view of the revelations of the past few days, I urge my colleagues to read and ponder the following editorial:

## SUBVERSION BY CIA

The disastrous effects of the systematic penetration of American educational, cultural and labor organizations by the Central Intelligence Agency daily become more apparent. The strength of these organizations, both in the structure of American society and in their relations with their opposite numbers in other nations, always has been their freedom from government domination.

Now, through the deviousness of CIA operations, thousands of scholars, students, unionists and professional leaders discover long after the fact that they have performed unwitting and undesired duty as secret agents.

The integrity of pro-American positions, honestly taken by groups and individuals in the worldwide battle of ideas, has been undermined. The independence of America's private foundations has been brought into question. In short, faith in American institutions has been besmirched in a way that would have eluded the reach of any foreign enemy.

It is no excuse to say that the CIA's decision to use a limitless range of philanthropic fronts to funnel its funds into youth

A 756

## CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — APPENDIX

February 20, 1967

groups, universities and other private institutions was designed to meet a very real problem of the cold war: the need for assuring that the Communists would not have an unchallenged field in the youth congresses and cultural conferences they were arranging—and subsidizing—on a global basis ten and fifteen years ago. That problem should have been met openly—by direct public subsidy.

It should have been clear long ago to the CIA's overseers in the White House that the end effect of clandestine subsidies to groups representative of the detachment and diversity of a free society must inevitably taint the genuineness of their detachment. This would be true even without the charges that have now developed of the assignment of CIA operatives to influence the policy statements and choice of officers of the National Student Association—a practice that may have extended to other organizations as well.

The health of a democratic society depends on the certainty that its free institutions—its press, its educational and scientific bodies, its publishing houses and television networks, its unions and business organizations—are truly free. That does not mean government is barred from underwriting international exchanges or research study; it means that, where such support is appropriate, it must be given openly through its own public agencies.

The worst part of the current mess is that the very nature of the CIA, with its mandate for espionage and subversion all over the world, rules out any thoroughgoing public inquiry into its activities. That means some residue of suspicion is sure to remain—both in this country and abroad—no matter how conscientiously the Cabinet Committee appointed last week by President Johnson seeks to formulate policies that will prevent the CIA or any other Federal bureau from impairing the "integrity and independence" of educational institutions.

When a government finds it necessary to set up an agency to fight subversion with subversion everywhere, the tragic danger it opens up is that among the people it subverts are its own. The defense against such weakening of America's institutional fabric must rest with the President and Congress. Even with the recent broadening of Senator Russell's watchdog committee, Congress is not doing its part on that job.

### Statement on the President's Civil Rights Program for 1967

#### EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. DONALD M. FRASER

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 20, 1967

Mr. FRASER. Mr. Speaker, President Johnson now has fastened our attention on the greatest piece of unfinished business in the field of civil rights ending racial discrimination in housing.

Adequate housing, like food, is a prime necessity of life, not only for every individual, but also for the development of sound families and sound communities. Furthermore, as a practical matter, equal opportunity for access to good housing is usually necessary to provide equal access to good schools or good jobs.

The nonwhite citizen who is denied the opportunity to buy or rent a home for his family that other citizens with similar income can enjoy, suffers triple injuries.

His family cannot live in a community with better schools.

He cannot live near places of possible employment for which he may be qualified.

He is forced to pay a higher price for the inferior housing to which he does have access. These are burdens an American citizen should not have to endure.

Ending discrimination in housing would mean that any family, rich or poor, would be able to buy or rent whatever housing it could afford to pay for, without regard to race.

To most Americans, this is no revolutionary right. It is perhaps the most basic and important right of all.

Let us therefore act now in this last major area of legal reform while the focus of public and world attention is still on our national record in civil rights, to complete the job and assure its success.

Joseph W. McIntyre

## SPEECH

OF

HON. WILLIAM H. BATES

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 16, 1967

Mr. BATES. Mr. Speaker, I wish to commend my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. PHILBIN] on the well-deserved tribute he has paid to the esteemed Joseph W. McIntyre, whose sudden death at the age of 56 on December 11, 1966, was a great shock to all of us. Joe McIntyre, administrative assistant to Senator Edward M. Kennedy of Massachusetts, was one of the ablest and most admired veterans of Capitol Hill service.

It had been my privilege to know him for most of the years since I first came here in my present capacity. Joe was a World War II veteran and, at the time of his passing, a commander in the Naval Reserve; and during the years when both were members of the former reserve unit on the Hill, he was always an active and interested participant in our weekly meetings and contributed much to our program.

But Joe McIntyre will naturally be more widely remembered for his service with Senator Kennedy and, before that, with former Senator Benjamin A. Smith II, also of Massachusetts. His Washington career started as assistant to his fellow resident of Clinton, Mass., the late Senator David I. Walsh and, after a period of outstanding service in other areas of government and private employment, resumed in 1951 when he returned as administrative assistant to another Clinton native, former Congressman James G. Donovan of New York.

A warm and personable man of marked ability and accomplishment, Joe McIntyre held the respect of people in all walks of life and on both sides of the political aisle. I am grateful for having known him, and my heartfelt sympathy is with his fine family and our midst.

## Atoms Versus Coal

## EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. WILLIAM R. ANDERSON

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 20, 1967

Mr. ANDERSON of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following:

ATOMS VERSUS COAL: A NEW ELEMENT OF COMPETITION IN ELECTRIC POWER PRODUCTION (By Aubrey J. Wagner, Chairman of the Board, Tennessee Valley Authority)

Last June, the Tennessee Valley Authority announced a decision to build its first, and the world's largest, nuclear power plant at the Browns Ferry site on Wheeler Reservoir in Northern Alabama.

This announcement created much interest because of its advanced engineering, size of the two nuclear units, each of 1,152,000 kilowatts capacity, and the fact that a nuclear plant would produce electricity at lower cost than a coal-fired plant.

The announcement had an even deeper significance, however: it marked entry of a new form of competition in the electric industry with important implications for consumers.

True, other power systems in the United States had purchased commercially competitive nuclear-fueled generating plants ahead of TVA. But they were well removed from other fuel supplies, particularly coal: nuclear fuels had a distinct transportation advantage.

In the Tennessee Valley, however, nuclear fuels were competing with coal on coal's home ground—in an area where coal is abundant and easily mined. The two stand toe-to-toe, competing for TVA's future business, which will be considerable.

As part of its overall program to assist economic development of the Tennessee Valley region, TVA has responsibility for providing an abundant supply of power at lowest possible cost. It provides power requirements for nearly 1.9-million customers in parts of seven states. Its sales to municipalities and cooperatives, to Federal agencies, and to a few very large industries amounted to 77-billion kilowatt-hours in fiscal 1966.

Hydro-electric potential of the Tennessee River has been essentially developed. For 15 years, the region's load growth has been met largely by coal-fired, steam-electric generating capacity.

## ONE-MILLION KWH INCREASE

Present generating capacity is 18-million kilowatts, of which 4-million is hydro, 14-million steam. Capacity must be increased by a million or more kilowatts each year to keep pace with the area's growth. Almost all the added capacity must be installed in thermal plants. Addition of the Browns Ferry plant will bring installed generating capacity to nearly 23-million kilowatts by 1971.

But indications are that some time in the 1980's TVA will need a 50-million-kilowatt system to meet requirements of the region! Investments which TVA and local distributors of TVA power must make to provide facilities for this huge system may well approach \$11-billion.

During the last 15 years—a period of rising costs—the unit cost (\$/kw) of new steam capacity was held about constant by taking advantage of savings resulting from use of larger generating plants and generating units. In 1951, TVA was installing units averaging in size the order of 125,000 kw. A coal-fired unit now under construction at